UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability

Docket No. 22-md-3043 (DLC)

Litigation

LABRANCHE

This Document Relates To: Johnson & Johnson Consumer

Companies, Inc.

Case Name: LaBranche v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer

Companies, Inc.

Case No.: 1:23-cv-09099

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FIRST

I. FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

P	laintiff(s) he	reby file th	eir Comp	laint by wa	ay of (se	elect one	e):		
X	This Com	plaint is f	iled purs	uant to O	rder: D	irect Fi	iling (DE	E 238). 1	Plaintiff(s)
hereby	designat	e(s) t	he U	Jnited	States	s]	District	Cour	t for
the	Eastern Distri	ct of Louisian	na			as	Plaintiff((s)' hom	ne venue
("Home	Venue"), a	s this case	may hav	e originall	y been	filed th	ere becau	ıse:	
	The APAP product(s) Plaintiff-Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff-Minor were purchased and/or used in LaPlace (city), LA (state).								
		aintiff-minc			ace				(city),
		substantial ere, to wit:	-			_	_		
	dis								dent of the
	_	se origina				and	l was trai	nsferred to	

by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

II. PLAINTIFF(S) INFORMATION

Plaintiff(s) are the following individuals (check all boxes which apply and fill out all
information for selected Plaintiff(s)):
Plaintiff-Mother (name):
■ State of Residence:
■ State of Citizenship:
Filing Capacity:
As Guardian, on behalf of Plaintiff Child
Individually
Plaintiff Child #1 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
KateLynn LaBranche
■ State of Residence: <u>LA</u>
• State of Citizenship: <u>LA</u>
• Year of Birth (yyyy): <u>2004</u>
■ Injury:
Autism Spectrum Disorder
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Plaintiff Child #2 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
State of Residence:
State of Citizenship:

Year of Birth (yyyy):
■ Injury:
Autism Spectrum Disorder
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Other Plaintiff(s):
■ Capacity to assert claim(s) (e.g., other parent, guardian, conservator, administrator,
executor):
State of Residence:
■ State of Citizenship:
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) include additional Plaintiff(s) who are immediate family members or who solely assert derivative claims, but are not otherwise listed above due to space constraints, please check here and list the additional Plaintiff's name, capacity to assert claims, state of residence, state of citizenship, and pertinent factual and legal claims on a separate sheet of paper.
See attached
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) seek(s) to include additional Plaintiff-Children, please check here and list the additional name (or initials, if the Plaintiff Child is currently a minor), state of residence, state of citizenship, year of birth, and injury on a separate sheet of paper.
See attached
III. INCORPORATION OF MASTER COMPLAINT(S)
Plaintiff(s) incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the below indicated First
Amended Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in In Re: Acetaminophen -
ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043, on July 25, 2023:
The First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against
Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. (DE 774).

IV.

below:

	 Note: Plaintiffs incorporating this First Amended Master Complaint must complete Section IV.A in addition to the above sections. 			
	The First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand			
	Against the Retailer Defendants (DE 773).			
	• <i>Note:</i> Plaintiffs incorporating this First Amended Master Complaint must complete Section IV.B in addition to the above sections.			
DEI	ENDANT(S)			
A.	Manufacturer Defendant			
	1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Manufacturer Defendant selected	1		
X .	ohnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.			
	Plaintiff Child #1:			
	Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:			
	Tylenol Regular® Date range Mother took Tylenol Regular® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):			
	May 2004 to Nov 2004			
	 ▼ Tylenol Extra Strength® ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 			
	May 2004 to Nov 2004			
	Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):			
	to			

Other:	
•	Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
Plaintiff Child #2 (if r	nore than one Plaintiff Child):
 Johnson & Johnson with Plaintiff 0 	nnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant Child #2:
	l Regular® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
Tyleno	l Extra Strength® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
	l Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
Other:	Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
	ereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the First aint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer

Inc. as if fully set forth herein.

Case 1:23-cv-09099-DLC Document 1 Filed 10/16/23 Page 6 of 24

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (*i.e.* not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below)):

Plaintiff- Mother (Individually)	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff-Other (Individually)	Claim/Allegation
	X			Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
	X			Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
	X			Count III: Negligence
	X			Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation By Omission (Limited to: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, and Wisconsin)
	X			Count V: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the box(es) above for which misrepresentation is an element, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation by omission must be set forth here:

Plaintiff's mother consumed Tylenol while the Plaintiff was in utero, believing it was safe to consume while she was pregnant with the Plaintiff.

Case 1:23-cv-09099-DLC Document 1 Filed 10/16/23 Page 7 of 24

The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint. (Please state additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed.

All Claims alleged in Plaintiffs' originally filed complaint including punitive damages.				
B.	Retailer Defendant(s):			
	1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Retailer Defendants selected below.			
acetaminoph	a box against a Retailer Defendant, Plaintiff(s) allege their claims arise out of the en store brands identified in the <i>First Amended Master Long Form Complain mand Against Retailer Defendants</i> or otherwise specify additional products below:			
7-	Eleven, Inc.			
•	Plaintiff Child #1:			
	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):			
	to			
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):			
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants: 			
	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):			
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 			
	to			
	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):			

Case 1:23-cv-09099-DLC Document 1 Filed 10/16/23 Page 8 of 24

• Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child

	#2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Big Lots, Inc	•
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

C	ostco Whol	esale Corporation
	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with
		Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

acy, Inc.
Child #1:
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

olgencorp,	LLC
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C

Do	ollar Tree S	Stores, Inc.
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 Two if not identified in the <i>First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants</i> :

Fa	amily Dolla	r Stores, LLC			
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:			
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnar Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):					
		to			
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):			
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:			
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):			
		to			
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):			
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:			

] TI	he Kroger (Co.				
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:				
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 					
		to				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the <i>First Amended Master Long Form</i>				
		Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with				
		Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
		to				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				

Rite Aid Cor	poration
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

 Plaintiff Child #1: Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant we Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): to	
Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): to	
	ith
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):	
 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Ch #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants: 	ild
 Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant we Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 	
to	
■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):	
 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Ch #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants: 	ild

Sa	ım's West l	Inc.			
■ Plaintiff Child #1:					
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):			
		to			
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):			
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:			
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with			
		Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):to			
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):			
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:			

Ta	arget Corp	oration				
•	Plaintiff Child #1:					
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
		to				
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
		to				
		Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				

W	algreen Co).				
•	Plaintiff Child #1:					
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
		to				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child				
		#1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):				
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
		to				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				

nild #1:
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
nild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Case 1:23-cv-09099-DLC Document 1 Filed 10/16/23 Page 21 of 24

Other Retailer:					
•	Plaintiff Child #1:				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:			
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):			
		to			
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):			
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:			
		Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):			
	to				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):			

2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *First*Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants as if fully set forth herein.

Case 1:23-cv-09099-DLC Document 1 Filed 10/16/23 Page 22 of 24

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (i.e. not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below):

Plaintiff- Mother (Individually)	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff-Other (Individually)	Claim/Allegation
				Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
				Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
				Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation By Omission (Limited to: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, and Wisconsin)
				Count V: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the boxes above for which misrepresentation is an element, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants*. Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation must be set forth here:

22

Case 1:23-cv-09099-DLC Document 1 Filed 10/16/23 Page 23 of 24

5. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the
First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants
and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint (Please state additional claims and the
factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed):

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and demand(s) a trial by jury as set forth in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in *In Re:* Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043 (S.D.N.Y.), on July 25, 2023.

Dated: Oct	16	2023	Respectfully submitted,			
			/s/ Jessica Glitz			
	Attorney Name: Jessica Glitz					
	Attorney Firm: Johnson Law Group					
	Attorney Address Line 1: 2925 Richmond Ave., Ste. 1700					
	Attorney Add Houston, TX					
	Telephone:	713) 626-9336				
	Fax: (713) 626-3394					
	Attorney Email: jglitz@johnsonlawgroup.com					
	Counsel for Plaintiff(s): KATELYNN LABRANCHE					